

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/526,143	02/28/2005	Grant Stuart Richardson	41577/312175	2535	
JOHN S. PRA	7590 03/06/200 TT FSO	EXAMINER			
KILPATRICK	STOCKTON, LLP	DIXON, ANNETTE FREDRICKA			
ATLANTA, G	FREE STREET A 30309	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3771		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/06/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/526,143	RICHARDSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Annette F. Dixon	3771		

	Annette F. Dixon	3771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request			
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO) v);	E below);				
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Inpliant Americanient (	1 OL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. \( \subseteq  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \text{ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	il and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
/Justine R Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771	/Annette F Dixon/ Examiner, Art Unit 3771					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner, Art Unit 3771

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts the prior art made of record does not disclose or teach; 1) a face mask having, "a first sealing means configured to form a seal on the face of a user surrounding an area of the user's face comprising the eyes, mouth, and nose", 2) the cooperation of the valve system and second cavity (4), and 3) a third sealing portion as required by claim 8. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertions. Regarding the first assertion, as shown in Figure 2, the first sealing means is seal 6. The seal (6) attaches on the brow of the user and extends to the frontal portion of the chin to define a sealed cavity that "surrounds...the eyes, mouth and nose" of the user. As addressed by Cronjaeger this seal (6) provides a chamber (7) between the seal (6) and the half mask (2), whereby seal penetration can be monitored (Column 3, Lines 40-46). As shown in the aforementioned figure this seal (6) and chamber (7) extends from the brow of the user, above the eyes, and around the face of the user to the frontal portion of the chin, below the mouth. Regarding the second assertion, as shown in Figure 2, the valve (9) cooperatively cooperates with the flap (8) thereby resulting in fluid communication with the second cavity (4). As addressed, the second cavity (4) is defined by the space between the first seal (6) and the second seal (11). As described above, the first seal extends from the brow of the user, above the eyes, and around the face of the user to the frontal portion of the chin, below the mouth. The second seal extends from above the first seal, encompassing the forehead, and extends around the face to below the jaw of the user. (Figure 2). As shown in Figure 2, a valve (9) is present within the defined second cavity (4) region (Column 4, Lines 35-50). During inhalation, the flap (8) actuates the valve (9) to an open position by which gas is applied to the second cavity (4). Regarding the third assertion, Croniaeger discloses a third sealing element, defined by the half mask (2) sealing edge engaging solely the mouth and nose of the user. (Figure 2 and Column 3, Lines 66-68). Therefore, in Applicant asserts the prior art made of record does not disclose or teach: 1) a face mask having, "a first sealing means configured to form a seal on the face of a user surrounding an area of the user's face comprising the eyes, mouth, and nose", 2) the cooperation of the valve system and second cavity (4), and 3) a third sealing portion as required by claim 8. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertions. Regarding the first assertion, as shown in Figure 2, the first sealing means is seal 6. The seal (6) attaches on the brow of the user and extends to the frontal portion of the chin to define a sealed cavity that "surrounds...the eyes, mouth and nose" of the user. As addressed by Cronjaeger this seal (6) provides a chamber (7) between the seal (6) and the half mask (2), whereby seal penetration can be monitored (Column 3, Lines 40-46). As shown in the aforementioned figure this seal (6) and chamber (7) extends from the brow of the user, above the eyes, and around the face of the user to the frontal portion of the chin, below the mouth. Regarding the second assertion, as shown in Figure 2, the valve (9) cooperatively cooperates with the flap (8) thereby resulting in fluid communication with the second cavity (4). As addressed, the second cavity (4) is defined by the space between the first seal (6) and the second seal (11). As described above, the first seal extends from the brow of the user, above the eyes, and around the face of the user to the frontal portion of the chin, below the mouth. The second seal extends from above the first seal, encompassing the forehead, and extends around the face to below the jaw of the user. (Figure 2). As shown in Figure 2, a valve (9) is present within the defined second cavity (4) region (Column 4, Lines 35-50). During inhalation, the flap (8) actuates the valve (9) to an open position by which gas is applied to the second cavity (4). Regarding the third assertion, Cronjaeger discloses a third sealing element, defined by the half mask (2) sealing edge engaging solely the mouth and nose of the user. (Figure 2 and Column 3, Lines 66-68). Therefore, it light of the aforementioned reasoning the rejection of the claims has been maintained, light of the aforementioned reasoning the rejection of the claims has been maintained